



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Paper No.

ALSTON & BIRD LLP
BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA
101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000
CHARLOTTE NC 28280-4000

COPY MAILED

MAR 28 2008

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of	:	
Hisayoshi Mizuhara, et al.	:	
Application No. 10/799,175	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: March 12, 2004	:	PURSUANT TO
Attorney Docket No.:	:	37 C.F.R. § 1.137(B)
021964/337034	:	
Title: SLIDE FASTENER WITH	:	
SEPARABLE BOTTOM END STOP	:	

This is a decision on the petition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b)¹, filed February 4, 2008, to revive the above-identified application.

This petition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b) is **GRANTED**.

This application became abandoned for failure to reply within the meaning of 37 C.F.R. § 1.113 in a timely manner to the final Office action mailed October 20, 2005, which set a shortened statutory period for reply of three months. No extensions of time under the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) were obtained, and no response was received. Accordingly, this application

1 A grantable petition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

- (1) The reply required to the outstanding Office action or notice, unless previously filed;
- (2) The petition fee as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(m);
- (3) A statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to this paragraph was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional, and;
- (4) Any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.20(d)) required pursuant to paragraph (d) of this section.

Decision on Petition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b)

became abandoned on January 21, 2006. A notice of abandonment was mailed on May 25, 2006.

With this petition, Petitioner has submitted the petition fee, the proper statement of unintentional delay, a Request for Continued Examination (RCE), an amendment, and the proper statement of unintentional delay. No terminal disclaimer is required.

The fee that is associated with the filing of a RCE will be charged to Petitioner's Deposit Account, as authorized, in due course.

As such, the first three requirements of Rule 1.137(b) have been met. The fourth requirement is not applicable.

The Technology Center will be notified of this decision. The Technology Center's support staff will notify the Examiner of this decision, so that the submission under 37 C.F.R. § 1.114 - the amendment submitted on January 22, 2008 - can be processed.

Telephone inquiries regarding *this decision* should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3225². All other inquiries concerning the status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

/Paul Shanoski/
Paul Shanoski
Senior Attorney
Office of Petitions

² Petitioner will note that all practice before the Office should be in writing, and the action of the Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. See 37 C.F.R. § 1.2. As such, Petitioner is reminded that no telephone discussion may be controlling or considered authority for any further action(s) of Petitioner.